arXiv:1509.01401v1 [math.CV] 4 Sep 2015

THE SPECTRUM OF VOLTERRA-TYPE INTEGRATION OPERATORS ON GENERALIZED FOCK SPACES

OLIVIA CONSTANTIN AND ANNA-MARIA PERSSON

Abstract. We describe the spectrum of certain integration operators acting on generalized Fock spaces.

Keywords: generalized Fock spaces, integration operators, spectrum
AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 30H20, 47B38.

1. Introduction

For analytic functions f, g we consider the Volterra-type integration operator given by

$$T_g f(z) = \int_0^z f g' \, d\zeta .$$

There is an extensive literature regarding the boundedness, compactness, and Schatten class membership of T_g on various spaces of analytic functions (see [2, 6] for Hardy spaces, [3, 5, 9, 15, 18, 16] for weighted Bergman spaces, [12, 13] for Dirichlet spaces, [10, 11] for Fock spaces, [7, 8] for growth spaces of entire functions, as well as the surveys [1, 19] and references therein). One of the key tools in all these considerations is a Littlewood-Paley-type estimate for the target space of the operator, which, for a wide class of radial weights, can be obtained by standard techniques. In comparison to the above, there are considerably fewer investigations of its spectrum (see [3, 4, 7]). The problem of describing the spectrum of T_g is in general quite involved as it requires Littlewood-Paley-type estimates for non-radial weights dependent on the symbol g.

Assuming that $g(0) = 0$, a straightforward calculation shows that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, the equation

$$f - \frac{1}{\lambda} T_g f = h$$

has the unique analytic solution

$$f(z) = R_{\lambda,g} h(z) = h(0) e^{g(z)/\lambda} + e^{g(z)/\lambda} \int_0^z e^{-g(\zeta)/\lambda} h'(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1}$$

Thus the resolvent set of T_g consists precisely of those points $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $R_{\lambda,g}$ is a bounded operator. One useful feature is that the spectrum of T_g is closely related to the behaviour of the exponentials $e^{g/\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Notice that for $h \equiv 1$ in (1) we get $R_{\lambda,g} 1 = e^{g/\lambda}$. This shows that if T_g is bounded on some Banach space X of analytic functions which contains the constants and on which point evaluations are bounded, then

---

The first author was supported in part by the FWF project P 24986-N25.

$e^{g/\lambda} \in X$ whenever λ belongs to the resolvent set of T_g. This fact was first noticed by Pommerenke [17] in the setting of $X = H^2$, who relied on it in order to provide a slick proof of the John-Nirenberg inequality. Hence, if X is as above, then

$$\{0\} \cup \overline{\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \ e^{g/\lambda} \notin X\}} \subset \sigma(T_g|X) .$$

Whether the reverse inclusion holds for all symbols g for which T_g is bounded depends on the space X. For example, this fails in the case of Bergman spaces and of Hardy spaces (see [3, 4]).

Another observation worthwhile making is that the point spectrum of T_g is empty. This is an immediate consequence of the form of T_g. In particular, if T_g is compact, then $\sigma(T_g) = \{0\}$.

The aim of this note is to describe the spectrum of T_g when acting on the generalized Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$ with $p \geq 1$, $\alpha, A > 0$, which consist of entire functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha,A} = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \Big| f(z) e^{-\alpha|z|^A} \Big|^p dA(z)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where dA denotes the Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{C}$. The operator T_g is bounded on $\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$ if and only if the symbol g is a polynomial of degree $\leq A$, while its compactness is equivalent to $degree(g) < A$ (see [11]). For integer values of A we obtain the following description for the spectrum of $T_g : \mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A} \to \mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$

$$\sigma(T_g) = \{0\} \cup \overline{\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \ e^{g/\lambda} \notin \mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}\}} = \Big\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \ |\lambda| \leq \frac{|b|}{\alpha}\Big\},$$

where b is the leading coefficient of the polynomial g (that is, $g(z) = bz^A +$"*lower terms*"). If $A \notin \mathbb{Z}$, it turns out that the operator T_g is automatically compact once it is bounded, and, since its point spectrum is empty, we obtain $\sigma(T_g) = \{0\}$. The particular case $p = 2, A = \frac{1}{2}$, was treated in [10] by a method based on the Hilbert space property of the classical Fock space, as well as the explicit formula for its reproducing kernel. Our present approach relies on a suitable application of Stokes' formula, using some properties of the integral means of functions in $\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$. The case $p = \infty$, corresponding to growth spaces of entire functions $\mathcal{F}^\infty_{\alpha,A}$, was recently treated by Bonet in [7].

2. Main result

Let us begin with some preliminary facts that will be used in our further considerations. The following Littlewood-Paley estimate for $\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$ was obtained in [11].

Proposition A. *We have*

$$\|f\|^p_{p,\alpha,A} \sim |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f'(z)|^p \frac{e^{-p|z|^A}}{(1+|z|)^{p(A-1)}} dA(z),$$

for any entire function f.

Remark 1. *For an entire function f, the maximum principle and the subharmonicity of $|f|^p$ ensure*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|f(z)|^p}{(1+|z|)^p} e^{-p\alpha|z|^A} dA(z) \sim \int_{|z|>1} \frac{|f(z)|^p}{(1+|z|)^p} e^{-p\alpha|z|^A} dA(z) \sim \int_{|z|>1} \left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right|^p e^{-p\alpha|z|^A} dA(z).$$

Moreover, if $f(0)=0$, the above quantities are comparable to $\int_{\mathbb{C}} \left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right|^p e^{-p\alpha|z|^A} dA(z)$.

The key ingredient in the proof of our main result is the following estimate:

Proposition 1. *Let $b, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and assume $\alpha > |b/\lambda|$. For a positive integer A, let $g(z) = bz^A$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. If f is an entire function such that $fe^{g/\lambda} \in \mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$, then the following inequality holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^p |e^{\frac{g(z)}{\lambda}}|^p e^{-p\alpha|z|^A} dA(z) \lesssim |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f'(z)|^p |e^{\frac{g(z)}{\lambda}}|^p \frac{e^{-p\alpha|z|^A}}{(1+|z|)^{(A-1)p}} dA(z), \tag{2}$$

where the involved constants are independent of f.

Proof. We shall first prove the statement for functions f that have a zero of order strictly greater than A at zero.

Denote $w(z) = p\,\mathrm{Re}\left(\dfrac{g(z)}{\lambda}\right) - p\alpha|z|^A$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Applying Stokes' Theorem and a limiting argument we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f|^p e^w dA &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f|^p \frac{\bar\partial(e^w)}{\bar\partial w} dA = -\int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar\partial\left(\frac{|f|^p}{\bar\partial w}\right) e^w dA \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar\partial\left(|f|^p\right) \frac{1}{\bar\partial w} e^w dA + \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f|^p \frac{\bar\partial^2 w}{(\bar\partial w)^2} e^w dA. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Above we used the fact that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \left| \int_{|z|=R} \frac{|f|^p}{\bar\partial w} \cdot e^w dz \right| = 0, \tag{4}$$

whose proof is deferred for later for the sake of clarity of exposition. To estimate the first integral on the right hand side of (3), denoted I_1, we write

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar\partial\left(|f|^p\right) \frac{1}{\bar\partial w} e^w dA \right| &= \frac{p}{2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|f|^p}{\bar f} \bar f' \cdot \frac{e^w}{\bar\partial w} dA \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f|^{p-1} \left| \frac{f'}{\bar\partial w} \right| e^w dA \\ &\le \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f|^p e^w dA \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|f'|^p}{|\bar\partial w|^p} e^w dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where the last step above follows by Hölder's inequality.

A direct calculation now gives

$$\begin{aligned}\bar\partial w =& p\left(\frac{\bar bA}{2\bar\lambda}\bar z^{A-1}-\frac{\alpha A}{2}z^{\frac{A}{2}}\bar z^{\frac{A}{2}-1}\right),\\ \bar\partial^2 w =& p\left(\frac{\bar bA(A-1)}{2\bar\lambda}\bar z^{A-2}-\frac{\alpha A(A/2-1)}{2}z^{\frac{A}{2}}\bar z^{\frac{A}{2}-2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and hence we have

$$|\bar\partial w|\ge\frac{pA}{2}\left(-\left|\frac{b}{\lambda}\right|+\alpha\right)|z|^{A-1},\qquad |\bar\partial^2 w|\lesssim|z|^{A-2}. \tag{6}$$

Use this in (5) to get

$$|I_1|\lesssim\left(\int_{\mathbb C}|f|^pe^w dA\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb C}\frac{|f'|^p}{|z|^{(A-1)p}}e^w dA\right)^{\frac1p},$$

which together with relation (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb C}|f|^pe^w dA\lesssim&\left(\int_{\mathbb C}|f|^pe^w dA\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb C}\frac{|f'|^p}{|z|^{(A-1)p}}e^w dA\right)^{\frac1p}+\int_{\mathbb C}|f|^p\frac{|z|^{A-2}}{|z|^{2A-2}}e^w dA\\ =&\left(\int_{\mathbb C}|f|^pe^w dA\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb C}\frac{|f'|^p}{|z|^{(A-1)p}}e^w dA\right)^{\frac1p}+\int_{\mathbb C}\frac{|f|^p}{|z|^A}e^w dA.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

We now claim that the above relation implies

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb C}|f|^pe^w dA\right)^{\frac1p}\lesssim\left(\int_{\mathbb C}\frac{|f'|^p}{|z|^{(A-1)p}}e^w dA\right)^{\frac1p},\tag{8}$$

if f has a zero of order $> A$ at the origin. Once (8) is proven, (2) will follow in view of Remark 1. We use an argument by contradiction. If (8) did not hold, then we could find a sequence $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of entire functions with $\|f_n\|_{L^p(e^w)}=1$ and $\int_{\mathbb C}\frac{|f_n'|^p}{|z|^{(A-1)p}}e^w dA<\frac1n$. For any compact $K\subset\mathbb C$, the point evaluation estimate for $\mathcal F^p_{\alpha,A}$ (see [14, 11]) gives

$$\left|\frac{f_n'(z)}{z^{A-1}}\right|\cdot|e^{\frac{g(z)}{\lambda}}|\lesssim c_K\left\|\frac{f_n'(z)}{z^{A-1}}e^{\frac{g(z)}{\lambda}}\right\|_{p,\alpha,A}\le c_K\left(\frac1n\right)^{\frac1p},$$

for some constant $c_K>0$. This shows that the sequence f_n' converges to 0 uniformly on compacts, and hence the same holds for the sequence f_n.

From (7) we deduce

$$1\lesssim\left(\frac1n\right)^{\frac1p}+\int_{|z|\le R}\frac{|f_n|^p}{|z|^A}e^w dA+\frac{1}{R^A}\int_{|z|>R}|f_n|^pe^w dA.$$

Since by the maximum principle $\frac{f_n}{z^A}$ tends uniformly to 0 on $|z|\le R$, the first integral on the right-hand side of the inequality above tends to 0 as $n\to\infty$. We now use the fact that $\|f_n\|_{L^p(e^w)}=1$ and let $R\to\infty$ to get the desired contradiction.

It remains to show that the condition (4) involving the boundary integral holds. By (6) we obtain

$$\Big|\int_{|z|=R}\frac{|f|^p}{\bar\partial w}\cdot e^w dz\Big|\lesssim\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{|(fe^{\frac{g}{\lambda}})(Re^{i\theta})|^p e^{-p\alpha R^A}}{|\bar\partial w(Re^{i\theta})|}Rd\theta$$

$$\sim\frac{e^{-p\alpha R^A}}{R^{A-2}}\int_0^{2\pi}|(fe^{\frac{g}{\lambda}})(Re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta. \tag{9}$$

Let now $\psi = fe^{\frac{g}{\lambda}}$ and consider $M_{p,R}^p(\psi)=\int_0^{2\pi}|\psi(Re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$. By assumption, $\psi\in\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$, that is,

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}}=\int_0^\infty M_{p,r}^p(\psi)e^{-p\alpha r^A}rdr<\infty$$

and hence

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_R^\infty re^{-p\alpha r^A}M^p_{p,r}(\psi)dr=0.$$

Since the integral means $M_{p,R}$ are increasing in R, this implies that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}M^p_{p,R}(\psi)\int_R^\infty re^{-p\alpha r^A}dr=0,$$

and therefore the right-hand side in (9) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{e^{-p\alpha R^A}}{R^{A-2}}M^p_{p,R}(\psi)=&\frac{e^{-p\alpha R^A}\cdot R^{2-A}}{\int_R^\infty re^{-p\alpha r^A}dr}M^p_{p,R}(\psi)\int_R^\infty re^{-p\alpha r^A}dr\\ =&\frac{e^{-p\alpha R^A}\cdot R^{2-A}}{\int_R^\infty re^{-p\alpha r^A}dr}o(1)\quad\text{ as } R\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

By L'Hospital's rule we see that the first factor above is bounded and hence (4) is proven. The conclusion for arbitrary f is obtained by applying (4) to $f(z)-\sum_{k=0}^{A-1}\frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!}z^k$, taking into account Remark 1, and the fact that

$$|f^{(k)}(0)|\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{C}}\frac{|f'|^p}{(|z|+1)^{(A-1)p}}e^w dA,\quad 1\le k\le A,$$

which follows by the Cauchy formula and subharmonicity. □

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha, A>0$, $p\ge 1$ and assume $T_g:\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}\to\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$ is bounded, that is, g is a polynomial with degree(g) $\le A$. Then we have*

(I) *If $A\notin\mathbb{N}$, then $\sigma(T_g)=\{0\}$.*

(II) *If $A\in\mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\sigma(T_g)=\Big\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}:\ |\lambda|\le\frac{|b|}{\alpha}\Big\} \tag{10}$$

where b is the coefficient of z^A in the expansion of g.

Proof. If A is not a positive integer, then T_g compact $\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$ once it is bounded (see [11]), and since it has no eigenvalues, its spectrum is $\{0\}$.

Thus, the only interesting case is $A \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. g is of the form $g(z) = bz^A + p_{A-1}(z)$ where p_{A-1} is a polynomial of degree $A-1$. Notice that for $\lambda \neq 0$ we have

$$I - \frac{1}{\lambda} T_g = (I - \frac{1}{\lambda} T_{bz^A}) - \frac{1}{\lambda} T_{p_{A-1}},$$

and since the operators $I - \frac{1}{\lambda} T_g$ and $I - \frac{1}{\lambda} T_{bz^A}$ are injective, while $T_{p_{A-1}}$ is compact on $\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$, the study of the spectrum of T_g reduces in this case to study of the spectrum of T_{bz^A}. We can therefore assume without loss of generality that $g(z) = bz^A$.

Now it is easy to see that the spectrum of T_g contains the set in the right-hand side of (10). Indeed, as explained in Introduction, if λ belongs to the resolvent set of T_g then $R_{\lambda,g} 1 = e^{bz^A/\lambda} \in \mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$, that is

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{p \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{bz^A}{\lambda}\right) - p\alpha |z|^A} dA(z) < \infty.$$

A straightforward argument using polar coordinates shows that this fails if $\left|\frac{b}{\lambda}\right| > \alpha$, and hence $\overline{D(0, |b|/\alpha)} \subseteq \sigma(T_g)$.

To prove the reverse inclusion, we apply Proposition 1. We show that if $\left|\frac{b}{\lambda}\right| < \alpha$, then the formal resolvent $R_{\lambda,g}$, given by (1), is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$. We claim that, for any polynomial f,

$$e^{g/\lambda} \int_0^z e^{-g(\zeta)/\lambda} f'(\zeta) d\zeta \in \mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}.$$

Indeed, this follows from the estimate

$$\left| e^{g/\lambda} \int_0^z e^{-g(\zeta)/\lambda} f'(\zeta) d\zeta \right| \leq \left| \int_0^1 e^{\frac{b}{\lambda} z^A (1-t^A)} f'(tz) z dt \right| \leq e^{\frac{|b|}{|\lambda|} |z|^A} \int_0^1 |z f'(tz)| dt,$$

taking into account $|b/\lambda| < \alpha$.

We may now apply Proposition 1 and, subsequently, Proposition A to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{\lambda,g} f\|_{p,\alpha,A} &\leq |f(0)| \|e^{g(z)/\lambda}\|_{p,\alpha,A} + \left\| e^{g(z)/\lambda} \int_0^z e^{-g(\zeta)/\lambda} f'(\zeta) d\zeta \right\|_{p,\alpha,A} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{p,\alpha,A} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|f'(z)|^p}{(1+|z|)^p} e^{-p\alpha|z|^A} dA(z) \Big)^{1/p} \lesssim \|f\|_{p,\alpha,A}. \end{aligned}$$

Since polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{F}^p_{\alpha,A}$ (see e.g. [11]), it follows that $R_{\lambda,g}$ is bounded, and, with this, the proof is complete. ☐

REFERENCES

[1] A. Aleman, *A class of integral operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Topics in complex analysis and operator theory, 3–30, Univ. Malaga, Malaga, 2007.

[2] A. Aleman, J. A. Cima, *An integral operator on H^p and Hardy's inequality*, J. Anal. Math. **85** (2001), 157–176.

[3] A. Aleman and O. Constantin, *Spectra of integration operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, J. Anal. Math. **109** (2009), 199–231.

[4] A. Aleman and J. Pelaez, *Spectra of integration operators and weighted square functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **61** (2012), no. 2, 775–793.

[5] A. Aleman, A. G. Siskakis, *Integration operators on Bergman spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46** (1997), 337–356.

[6] A. Aleman, A. G. Siskakis, *An integral operator on H^p*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **28** (1995), 149–158.

[7] J. Bonet, *The spectrum of Volterra operators on weighted spaces of entire functions*, Quart. J. Math. doi:10.1093/qmath/hav019

[8] J. Bonet and J. Taskinen *A note about Volterra operators on weighted Banach spaces of entire functions*, Math. Nachr. doi:10.1002/mana.201400099

[9] O. Constantin, *Carleson embeddings and some classes of operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **365** (2010), 668–682.

[10] O. Constantin, *A Volterra-type integration operator on Fock spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), 4247–4257.

[11] O. Constantin and J.A. Pelaez, *Integral operators, embedding theorems and a Littlewood–Paley formula on weighted Fock spaces*, J. Geom. Anal. doi:10.1007/s12220–015–9585–7

[12] P. Galanopoulos, D. Girela, J. A. Pelaez, *Multipliers and integration operators on Dirichlet spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 1855–1886.

[13] D. Girela, J.A. Pelaez, *Carleson measures, multipliers and integration operators for spaces of Dirichlet type*, J. Funct. Anal. **241** (2006), 334–358.

[14] N. Marco, X. Massaneda, J. Ortega-Cerda, *Interpolating and sampling sequences for entire functions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), 862–914.

[15] J. Pau, J. A. Pelaez, *Embedding theorems and integration operators on Bergman spaces with rapidly decreasing weights*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 2727–2756

[16] J. A. Pelaez and J. Rättyä, *Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **227** (2014), no. 1066.

[17] Ch. Pommerenke, *Schlichte Funktionen und analytische Funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer Oszillation*, Comment. Math. Helv. **52** (1977), 591–602.

[18] J. Rättyä, *Integration operator acting on Hardy and weighted Bergman spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **75** (2007), 431–446.

[19] A. G. Siskakis, *Volterra operators on spaces of analytic functions — a survey*, Proceedings of the First Advanced Course in Operator Theory and Complex Analysis, 51–68, Univ. Sevilla Secr. Publ., Seville, 2006

Olivia Constantin, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Vienna, Austria
E-mail address: `olivia.constantin@univie.ac.at`

Anna-Maria Persson, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Lund University, P.O. Box 118, S-221 00 Lund, Sweden
E-mail address: `Anna-Maria.Persson@math.lu.se`